

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/671,354	(09/27/2000	Osamu Okumura	038959.01	8112		
25944	7590	09/19/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
OLIFF & E		E, PLC	TON, MINE	TON, MINH TOAN T			
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXAND		22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2871			
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			354	OKUMURA ET AL.						
			ər	Art Unit						
		Toan To	n	2871						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANAGE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANAGE STATE OF T	ALING DATE OF T f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. utory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION Event, however, may a reply be ting will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from explication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2l Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	o) This action is or allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pro		s					
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 30,31,33,37,38 and 49-78 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 30,31,33,37,38 and 49-78 is Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restricti	e withdrawn from c	onsideration.							
Applicati	on Papers									
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or blion to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Se ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
2) Notice Notice Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate						

Application/Control Number: 09/671,354 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 30-31, 33, 37-38 and 49-78 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 30-44 and 45-71 of copending Application No.10/995176. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application and the issued application recite a reflective type color LCD comprising common and overlapping subject matter such as a dot area formed at an overlapping portion of the first electrode and the second electrode, the dot area including a first section and a second section, a color filter arranged in the first section, non-colored filter arranged in the second section, a layer arranged in the second section in which the color filter is not arranged and the layer being transparent.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Contact Information

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2303.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TOANTON

September 8, 2006